1 2 3 4	JEFFREY A. MILLER (CSB No.160,602) (jmiller@orrick.com) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	UPEK, INC.	
7 8	STEVEN LIEBERMAN (slieberman@rothwellfigg.com)	
9	MINAKSI BHATT (CSB No. 151,312) (mbhatt@rothwellfigg.com) ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST & MANBECK	
10	1425 K Street, N.W. Suite 800	
11	Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-6040	
12	Facsimile: (202) 783-6031	
13	Attorneys for Defendants IDEX ASA and IDEX AMERICA, INC.	
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	UPEK, INC.,	
17 18	UPEK, INC., Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 3:07 av 04238 CDB
		Civil Action No. 3:07-cv-04338-CRB
18	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL	Civil Action No. 3:07-cv-04338-CRB STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL
18 19	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL OG TEKNISK FORSKNING VED NTH,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL OG TEKNISK FORSKNING VED NTH, Defendants.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL OG TEKNISK FORSKNING VED NTH, Defendants. WHEREAS on October 24, 2007, the part	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL ties reached a settlement to resolve this action.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL OG TEKNISK FORSKNING VED NTH, Defendants. WHEREAS on October 24, 2007, the part NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ST	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL ties reached a settlement to resolve this action. TIPULATED by and between the parties and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. IDEX ASA, IDEX AMERICA INC., and STIFTELSEN FOR INDUDSTRIELL OG TEKNISK FORSKNING VED NTH, Defendants. WHEREAS on October 24, 2007, the part NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ST through their respective counsel of record, subjective co	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL ties reached a settlement to resolve this action. TIPULATED by and between the parties and

1	2. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		Respectfully submitted,
4		
5		JEFFREY A. MILLER
6		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7		
8	Dated: October 29, 2007	/s/ Attorneys for Plaintiff UPEK, Inc.
9		STEVEN LIEBERMAN
10		MINAKSI BHATT ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST & MANBECK
11		
12	Dated: <u>October 29, 2007</u>	Attorneys for Defendants IDEX ASA and IDEX
13		Attorneys for Defendants IDEX ASA and IDEX AMERICA, INC
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED	
17		
18		STATES DISTRICT CO.
19	Dated: _October 30, 2007	
20	Dated: _October 50, 2007	Honorable Charles United States IT IS SO ORDERED
21		Omiensia III 15 30
22		Judge Charles R. Breyer
23		
24		
25		DISTRICT OF CE
26		
27		
28		

ATTESTATION I, Jeffrey A. Miller, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Complaint. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Minaksi Bhatt has read and approved this stipulation and proposed order. I will maintain an executed copy of this stipulation in our files that can be made available for inspection upon request. ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP s/ Jeffrey A. Miller Dated: October 29, 2007 By: Jeffrey A. Miller Attorneys for Plaintiff UPEK, Inc.